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Proceedings held on Wednesday, December 4, 2019, commencing at 1:38 p.m., at the offices of JEA, 21 West Church Street, 16th Floor, Central Conference Room, Jacksonville, Florida, before Diane M. Tropia, FPR, a Notary Public for the State of Florida at Large.

ATTENDEES:
STEPHANIE BURCH, Deputy Chief Admin Officer, COJ. RANDALL BARNES, Treasurer, City of Jacksonville. ROBIN SMITH, Chief, Engineering/Construction, COJ. JOHN MCCARTHY, VP/Chief Supply Chain Officer, DPR, JEA. JENNY McCOLLUM, Chief Procurement Officer, DPR, JEA. MELISSA DYKES, President, COO, SME, JEA. LYNNE RHODE, Chief Legal Officer, JEA. DEBRA ANDERSON, Office of Inspector General, COJ.

ATTENDEES VIA TELEPHONE:
CARLA MILLER, Ethics Director, City of Jacksonville. ROBERT H. HOSAY, Esq., Foley \& Lardner, LLP. BENJAMIN J. GROSSMAN, Esq., Foley \& Lardner, LLP. TODD GIARDINELLI, Morgan Stanley. STEPHEN AMDUR, Pillsbury Winthrop, LLP.
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December 4, 2019 1:38 p.m.
MS. McCOLLUM: All right. Good afternoon, everyone.
Before we get started, I'd like to welcome everyone. We appreciate your time.
I just want to note -- Carla, I wasn't aware you weren't going to be attending in person today, so I'm going to send you the confidentiality acknowledgement now via email so that --
MS. MILLER: I already executed it and sent it back to John.
MS. McCoLLUM: Okay. Can you just start sending those to the Strategic Alternative mailbox so that he and \(I\) will both have access to those?
MS. MILLER: Sure.
MS. McCOLLUM: Thank you.
Okay. So I have handed out these to everybody in the room. Those on the phone, before we get started and do the names and all that, \(I\) have e-mailed it to you all as well, so please sign those and send those back to me.
All right. Lynne, do you want to --
```

MS. RHODE: You can start.
MS. McCOLLUM: So let's go ahead and start with the roll call. We will begin. This meeting is a negotiation strategy session to review the next steps for ITN 127-19. We've got -- we'll start with everyone around the table, and then we'll go to the phone.

Jenny McCollum, chief procurement officer and designated procurement rep.

MR. BARNES: Randall Barnes, treasurer, City of Jacksonville.

MS. BURCH: Stephanie Burch, deputy chief administrative officer, City of Jacksonville.

MR. SMITH: Robin Smith, city engineer, City of Jacksonville.

MS. RHODE: Lynne Rhode, Office of General Counsel, JEA chief legal officer.

MS. HILL: Miriam Hill, Office of General Counsel, assistant general counsel.

MS. ANDERSON: Debra Anderson, Office of the Inspector General.

MS. DYKES: Melissa Dykes, JEA's president and chief operating officer, invited as a SME.

MS. MCCOLLUM: Can we start on the phone?
Let's start with Foley.

MR. GROSSMAN: This is Ben Grossman with Foley \& Lardner, legal counsel to JEA.

MR. HOSAY: And Robert Hosay with Foley \& Lardner, counsel to JEA.

MS. McCOLLUM: Thanks, guys.
Morgan Stanley.
MR. GIARDINELLI: Todd Giardinelli with Morgan Stanley, managing director.

MS. McCOLLUM: Thanks, Todd.
Anyone else?
MR. GIARDINELLI: Not from Morgan Stanley.
MS. McCoLLUM: Okay. Great. And anybody
from Pillsbury?
MR. AMDUR: You have Stephen Amdur with Pillsbury Winthrop.

MS. McCOLLUM: Okay. And then ethics?
MS. MILLER: Carla Miller, ethics director.

MS. McCoLLUM: Is there anyone else on the phone?
(No response.)
MS. McCOLLUM: Okay. Great. Let's get started.

If we need to evacuate, please use the stairs next to the elevator. We will

```
assimilate in the parking lot across from
Church and Main. I know CPR if anybody needs
it in the room. Anyone on the phone, you're on
your own. And please put your cell phones on
silent.
    Melissa, would you mind calling 911?
    MS. DYKES: Not at all.
    MS. McCOLLUM: Miriam, would you mind
calling security if there's an issue?
    MS. HILL: Sure.
    MS. McCOLLUM: Thank you.
    Okay. So this really is just to review
the next steps. We talked about putting a
timeline together and calling the respondents,
so this is just a review of what our calls are
going to look like for the next two hours for
each the respondents that will continue on in
the process.
    MS. RHODE: Before you get started, I'd
like to read into the record the acknowledgment
of confidentiality that has been passed out in
person or e-mailed to every person
participating in this meeting.
    It reads: "I hereby acknowledge that, by
virtue of Section 286.0113 of the Florida
```

Statutes, the proceedings in this meeting are exempt from the requirements for public meetings set forth in Section 286.011 of the Florida Statutes and Article I, Section 24 (b) of the Florida Constitution. I further acknowledge that the records presented at this meeting and the recordings of the meeting are exempt at this time from the provisions of Section 119.07 of the Florida Statutes and Article I, Section $24(a)$ of the Florida Constitution.

I also acknowledge that the City's Ethics Code, (Chapter 602 of the Jacksonville Ordinance Code), as well as parts of the State's Ethics Code, (Chapter 112, Part III of the Florida Statutes), apply to me. This includes Section 602.401(b) of the Jacksonville Ordinance Code, which provides that it is a violation of the City's Ethics Code for an officer or employee of the City or an independent agency to intentionally or knowingly disclose or use any confidential information gained by reason of such person's position for any purpose other than official responsibilities.

I understand, acknowledge and agree that the consequences of any violation by me of the confidentiality applicable to the proceedings in this meeting may include my being excluded from any future such meetings."

And I'd like to get a verbal response from each person in the room and on the phone, please, that you have signed the agreement for today's meeting and understand its contents. If I may go around the room, starting with Ms. McCollum.

MS. McCOLLUM: I agree and acknowledge. MS. RHODE: Go ahead and roll call, Jenny. MS. McCOLLUM: Randall. MR. BARNES: I agree. MS. McCOLLUM: Stephanie. MS. BURCH: I agree. MS. McCOLLUM: Robin.

MR. SMITH: Yes, I knowledge.

MS. RHODE: Lynne, I acknowledge.

MS. HILL: I acknowledge.

MS. McCOLLUM: That was Miriam. Debra.

MS. ANDERSON: I acknowledge.

MS. McCOLLUM: Melissa.
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    MS. DYKES: I acknowledge.
    MS. RHODE: Could you read who is on the
    phone, please?
    MS. McCOLLUM: Yep. Ben.
    MR. GROSSMAN: Ben Grossman, I
acknowledge.
    MS. McCOLLUM: Robert.
    MR. HOSAY: I have read and acknowledged.
    MS. McCOLLUM: Todd.
    MR. GIARDINELLI: I acknowledge.
    MS. McCOLLUM: Stephen.
    MR. AMDUR: I acknowledge.
    MS. McCOLLUM: Carla.
    MS. MILLER: I acknowledge.
    MS. RHODE: Thank you.
    MS. McCOLLUM: Thank you.
    MS. RHODE: As a reminder, so this is an
exempt meeting, held at the request of the
negotiation team for the purpose of discussing
strategy. The documents produced herein are
exempt documents. As such, this meeting is
being recorded and transcribed by JEA. Any
other photographing, texting, recording, any
records or notes of the protected proceedings
and the information contained herein, except
```

for those of the Inspector General and her agent acting in her official and independent authority, should, as a precaution, be left in the room for me to collect as part of the protected record.

Thank you.
MS. McCoLLUM: Okay. Thanks, Lynne.
So the review of the next steps,
Stephanie, do you want to just kind of lead us through how you're going to lead the meetings and just make sure you guys are on the same page and then -- and we'll see if there's any open discussion after that and see if anyone on the phone has anything to say.

MS. BURCH: Sure. So just going to thank the respondents for the submission of their revised reply, let them know that we'd like to continue to negotiate with them regarding their proposal and their ability to meet the ITN selection criteria. So we wanted to give their team guidance on the timing going forward. So we're going to -- I would -- I don't want to say immediately, but this afternoon we will give them access to the data room where they will have the ability to engage in
question and answer with the -- with JEA in accordance with the process. So it will follow the same process as Q and A did previously. So they will need to send anything that they have to one group to the DPRs for them to facilitate that. The DPR will follow up with the team separately to coordinate that $Q$ and $A$ period. Management presentations will take place next week in Atlanta. The DPR will also follow up with them separately regarding scheduling and logistics for those management presentations. And then to let them know that we're targeting receipt of marked-up agreements the first week in January and then a submission of updated revised replies the second full week in January.

So we want to -- we're also letting them know that we'd like to target a transaction announcement, which means signed documents, by the end of January. So we know that it's fast and aggressive, but we appreciate them working with us on that timeline. So confirmatory due diligence will take place during January.

And, at that point, $I$ will say we wanted to give them a little bit more feedback about
their revised responses, and so at that point I will turn it over to Todd Giardinelli at Morgan Stanley to provide further feedback on their revised responses. And I realize it may not be everybody, but there will be a few that he needs to give some targeted feedback to.

MS. McCOLLUM: Y'all good with that?
MR. SMITH: Sounds good to me.
MR. BARNES: That sounds good to me. My only question would be: Should we or do we have a date certain we would want to have them provide responses by and also the targeted date? Is there a specific date at the end of January that we're targeting?

MS. BURCH: I think the idea is -- yes, we have that internally, but I think the idea is to keep it sort of flexible for us in case conditions change at some point along the way. So if we're at least giving them a week in January when we're expecting it, you know, they will have that to target, and as we get closer we can certainly narrow that down for them. MR. BARNES: Okay.

MS. BURCH: But I think it's important for us to maintain as much flexibility in the
process as possible because who knows what's going to happen next week. We don't know if everyone's going to attend or if some people will drop and, you know, maybe we don't like what we get from $Q$ and $A$ and the rest of this month, and, you know, $I$ don't know where it goes.

MR. BARNES: I agree. I just wanted to make sure we're not trying to hit any kind of board meeting date or anything like that.

MS. BURCH: Yeah. I think internally
that's what it is. We want to meet that board meeting date, but $I$ think the JEA board can be flexible with changing their date if they need to.

MR. BARNES: Okay.
MS. BURCH: So I prefer maintaining just keeping it at this week, we're expecting -MR. SMITH: Setting an expectation without being too specific about it.

MS. BURCH: Exactly.
MR. SMITH: That's okay.

MR. BARNES: I agree with that approach.
MS. BURCH: Okay.
MS. McCOLLUM: Great.

MS. BURCH: Todd.
MS. McCOLLUM: Yeah, Todd, do you want to walk us through your thoughts?

MR. GIARDINELLI: Sure. That all makes sense. The bidders may ask if they're going to get a -- what would be typically called a "process letter." I don't know if you have any views on whether that will be sent.

MS. BURCH: I mean, I think we're saying that the DPRs are going to reach out to them regarding scheduling and logistics and all of that as we move forward. So, you know, I don't know if they want to call it a process letter or communication or whatever, but I think we can just simply state that the DPRs will be in touch with them about it.

MR. GIARDINELLI: Great.
MS. McCOLLUM: I'll work on the back end to figure out how we communicate that.

MR. GIARDINELLI: So I think what we're doing -- what we'll do based on the conversation yesterday is provide each of the bidders, I think probably with the exception of the top bidder who doesn't need any valuation guidance, just a view in terms of where they
stand and give certain levels of encouragement based on the feedback that we received from the negotiating team yesterday, in terms of giving people guidance in terms of where they need to be to be successful in the transaction.

MS. BURCH: That sounds good.
MS. McCOLLUM: Okay. You guys are good with that?

MR. BARNES: Yes.
MR. SMITH: Uh-huh.
MS. McCOLLUM: Is there any open
discussion we want to have prior to the calls? We have about ten minutes or so before we need to dial into the first one.

MR. SMITH: I would like to discuss our travel arrangements.

MS. BURCH: So yeah. So I've been talking separately with Jenny about that. So I'll handle it through the mayor's office for all three of us, so you don't need to worry about it. If you have people in your department concerned or whatever, just let them know that we'll --

MR. SMITH: So as far as -- you know, are we there Monday morning, are we --

MS. BURCH: It will be later on Monday.
MR. SMITH: So Monday is a travel day?
MS. McCOLLUM: It can be a half work day. MS. BURCH: Yeah.

MS. McCOLLUM: It can be whatever works with your schedule as long as you can get there Monday.

MR. SMITH: Are we anticipating one of the presentations happening on Monday?

MS. BURCH: No. Not till Tuesday. We just have to get there Monday night.

MR. SMITH: And then Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday. What about Friday?

MS. BURCH: Friday, I think, is still up in the air.

MR. SMITH: Depending on the scheduling?
MS. BURCH: Yeah. It depends on schedule.
MR. SMITH: Okay.
MS. BURCH: As soon as we get it nailed down, we'll let you guys know. Jenny will let you guys know.

MS. McCOLLUM: And we'll reach out to the respondents after these calls.

MR. SMITH: Okay.
MS. BURCH: But don't worry about your
travel paperwork. We'll handle it through the mayor's office.

MR. BARNES: Thank you, Jenny.

MS. McCOLLUM: Anyone else on the phone have any open discussion?
(No response.)

MS. McCOLLUM: Anyone else in the room?

MS. RHODE: So I'm going to go around and see if each person -- and, Jenny, if you can help me with a roll call again.

MS. McCOLLUM: Sure.

MS. RHODE: If each person in the room has any protected notes that $I$ need to collect. And for those on the phone, you could just say if you do, and we'll get them from you. If you could just do a roll call again, Jenny, that would be great, first starting with people in the room.

MS. McCoLLUM: Jenny McCollum, no protected notes.

MR. BARNES: Randall Barnes, no notes.

MS. BURCH: Stephanie Burch. I do, but I need them for the rest of the calls, so I'll give it to you at the end of the calls if that's okay.

MS. RHODE: Yes.
MS. BURCH: Okay.
MR. SMITH: Robin Smith. I don't have any notes.

MS. HILL: Miriam Hill, $I$ don't have any notes.

MS. ANDERSON: No.

MS. DYKES: Melissa Dykes, no notes.
Forgive my doodles on yesterday's.
MS. McCOLLUM: Lynne, do you have any?
MS. RHODE: I do not.
MS. McCOLLUM: On the phone, Ben?
MR. GROSSMAN: I do not.

MS. McCOLLUM: Thank you.
Robert.
MR. HOSAY: No notes.
MS. McCOLLUM: Thank you.
Todd.
MR. GIARDINELLI: No.
MS. McCOLLUM: Stephen.
MR. AMDUR: No notes.

MS. McCOLLUM: Carla.
MS. MILLER: Nope.
MS. McCOLLUM: Great.
MS. RHODE: Thank you all.

Diane M. Tropia, Ine., Dost Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FLlo 32203

| MS. MCCOLLUM: This meeting is adjourned. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| (The foregoing proceedings were adjourned |  |
| at $1: 54$ p.m.) | $-\quad-\quad-\quad$ |

Diane M. Tropia, Ine., Dost Office Box 2375, Jacksonville, FLlo 32203
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